

WIND MICROCLIMATE MODELLING

St Michael's Development, Dun Laoghaire, Dublin

Prepared by: B-Fluid Ltd. | Buildings Fluid Dynamics Consultants

For: Fitzwilliam DL Ltd

Document Reference		
Project Name	WIND MICROCLIMATE MODELLING St Michael's, Dun Laoghaire, Dublin	
Project Ref.	W_2009196	
Site location	Crofton Road, Dun Laoghaire, Dublin	
CFD Study by	B-Fluid Ltd.	
Engineers	Dr. Cristina Paduano CFD Modelling Specialist CEng MIEI, PhD. Mech Eng., MEng. Aerospace Eng.	
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Dr. Eleonora Neri CFD Modelling Specialist, CEng MIEI PhD. Aeroacoustics, MSc. Aeronautical Eng.</td> <td>Dr. Arman Safdari CFD Modelling Specialist PhD. MEng, MSc. Mech.Eng.</td> </tr> </table>	Dr. Eleonora Neri CFD Modelling Specialist, CEng MIEI PhD. Aeroacoustics, MSc. Aeronautical Eng.
Dr. Eleonora Neri CFD Modelling Specialist, CEng MIEI PhD. Aeroacoustics, MSc. Aeronautical Eng.	Dr. Arman Safdari CFD Modelling Specialist PhD. MEng, MSc. Mech.Eng.	
Report issued on	11-09-2020	

© 2020 Copyright B-Fluid Ltd.



B-Fluid Ltd. | Buildings Fluid Dynamic Consultants

Ireland: 18 Herbert Street, Dublin 2, D02 FK19
t: +353 (0)1 506 5671 m: +353 (0)85 713 6352

UK: Harwell Innovation Centre, 173 Curie Avenue, Didcot, OX11 0QG
t: +44 (0) 870 489 0207

Email: info@b-fluid.com
Website: www.b-fluid.com

Contents

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	6
2.1	INTRODUCTION	7
2.2	DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT	7
2.3	EXTENTS OF ANALYSED AREA	9
2.4	OBJECTIVE OF THE WIND MICROCLIMATE STUDY	10
2.4.1	REGULATIONS	10
3	STUDY METHODOLOGY	12
3.1	STUDY METHODOLOGY	13
3.2	WIND IMPACT ASSESSMENT ON BUILDINGS	13
3.2.1	PLANETARY BOUNDARY LAYER AND TERRAIN ROUGHNESS	13
3.3	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	15
3.3.1	PEDESTRIAN COMFORT AND LAWSON CRITERIA	15
3.4	MITIGATION MEASURES	21
4	CFD MODELLING METHOD	24
4.1	CFD MODELLING METHOD	25
4.1.1	NUMERICAL SOLVER	26
4.2	COMPUTATIONAL MESH	26
4.3	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	27

5	WIND DESKTOP STUDY	29
5.1	WIND FLOW CONDITIONS	30
5.2	LOCAL, MAXIMUM AND MEAN WIND CONDITIONS	31
5.2.1	LOCAL WIND CONDITIONS	31
5.2.2	MEAN AND MAXIMUM WIND CONDITIONS	34
5.2.3	TOPOGRAPHY AND BUILT IN ENVIRONMENT	36
5.2.4	OPEN AREA FUNCTIONS	37
6	ANALYSIS OF CFD RESULTS	38
6.1	CFD RESULTS	39
6.2	MICROCLIMATE ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	40
6.2.1	Flow Velocity Results - Ground Floor	42
6.2.2	Pedestrian Comfort Assessment	47
7	CONCLUSIONS	54
7.1	CONCLUSIONS AND COMMENTS ON CFD WIND STUDY	55
8	BIBLIOGRAPHY	56

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

B-Fluid Limited has been commissioned by 'Fitzwilliam DL Ltd' to carry out a Wind Microclimate Modelling Study for the St Michael's Development in Dun Laoghaire, Co. Dublin. The proposed development consists of 2 blocks, ranging in height from 5 to 13 storeys. Figure 1.1 shows a the proposed site plan.



Figure 1.1: View of proposed site plan

Wind microclimate studies identify the possible wind patterns around the existing environment and proposed development under mean and peak wind conditions typically occurring in Dublin. A wind microclimate assessment is performed through advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) which is a numerical method used to simulate wind conditions and its impact on the development and to identify areas of concern in terms of downwash/funnelling/downdraft/critical flow accelerations that may likely occur. The Advanced CFD numerical algorithms applied here are solved using high speed supercomputing computer clusters.

This study results will be utilized by Fitzwilliam DL Ltd design team to configure the optimal layout for St Michael's Development for the aim of achieving a high-quality environment for the scope of use intended of each areas/building (i.e. comfortable and pleasant for potential pedestrian) and not to introduce any critical wind impact on the surrounding areas and on the existing buildings.

This technical report describes the wind microclimate study performed and rationals of the methodology and assumptions that B-Fluid Ltd. has adopted for this analysis.

For the purpose of performing an elaborate wind microclimate study, 18 different wind scenarios and directions have been modelled as shown in Table 1.1 in order to take into consideration all the different relevant wind directions in Dublin. In particular, a total of 18 compass directions on the wind rose are selected. For each direction, the reference wind speed is set to the 5% exceedance wind speed for that direction, i.e. the wind speed that is exceeded for over 5% of the time whenever that wind direction occurs.

This technical report focuses on reporting the 8 worst case and most relevant wind speeds with cardinal directions, which are the speeds and directions showing the most critical wind speeds relevant to the development. The 8 modelled scenarios reported in this study are presented in Figure 1.2.

DUBLIN WIND SCENARIOS AND DIRECTIONS		
Velocity (<i>m/s</i>)	Direction (deg)	Frequency
5.601	225	11.233
4.626	135	6.849
5.847	236.25	6.792
6.049	258.75	6.747
6.034	247.5	6.689
5.888	270	5.662
4.994	315	4.338
5.503	281.25	3.904
4.974	292.5	3.436
5.357	213.75	3.288
4.736	123.75	3.105
4.406	146.25	2.751
5.101	303.75	2.648
5.246	112.5	2.500
4.121	157.5	2.386
4.581	101.25	2.340
4.169	45	2.180
3.558	90	2.135

Table 1.1: Summary of The 18 Wind Scenarios Modelled for Proposed Development




Figure 1.2: Summary of 8 Wind Scenarios Reported

A qualitative and quantitative summary of the wind microclimate modelling study performed for St Michael’s Development shows that:

- The wind profile around the existing development environment was built using the annual average meteorology data collected at Dublin Airport Weather Station. In particular, the local wind climate was determined from historical meteorological data recorded 10 m above ground level at Dublin Airport.
- The prevailing wind directions for the site are identified as West, South-East and West-South-West, with magnitude of approximately 6m/s.
- The proposed St Michael’s Development will produce a high-quality environment that is attractive and comfortable for pedestrians of all categories.
- Wind flow speeds are shown to be within tenable conditions. Some higher velocity and funnelling effects are found, depending on the wind direction, on the main roads around the development, on the road in-between the two different blocks and under the pedestrian tunnel on the north-side entrance. Some recirculation effects are found at the corners of the buildings. However, these conditions are not occurring at a frequency that would compromise the pedestrian comfort, according to the Lawson Criteria, as shown in the next Sections. Moreover, the implementation of mitigation measures with tree planting all around the development, with particular attention to the corners of the buildings, will mitigate these effects.
- The proposed development does not impact or give rise to negative or critical wind speed profiles at the nearby adjacent roads, or nearby buildings.
- The pedestrian comfort assessment, performed at Ground Floor level accordingly to the Lawson criteria, identified the areas that are suitable for the different pedestrian

activities in order to guarantee pedestrian comfort. The areas around the development can all be considered suitable for long term sitting. Moreover, in terms of distress, no critical conditions were found for “Frail persons or cyclists” and for members of the “General Public” in the surrounding of the development.

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION



2.1 INTRODUCTION

This technical report presents a wind desktop study carried out for St Michael's Development. The image in Figures 2.1 shows a the northern elevation of the development.




Figure 2.1: Northern Elevation of the Development

The following paragraphs detail all the project information used throughout the study, together with results of the assessment carried out.

2.2 DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development will consist of the demolition of an existing 2 no. storey house on the site and the construction of 102 no. build-to-rent residential apartments (80 no. 1-bed and 22 no. 2-bed units) across 2 no. buildings (Building 01 and Building 02), along with ancillary residential amenities and a publicly accessible café on a c. 0.42ha site. Building 01 to the north extends to part 5, part 6, part 8 and part 13 no. storeys in height. Building 02 to the south extends to part 8, part 9 no. storeys in height, with setback 9th storey.

Residential amenity space in the form of a reception, coworking/study space, gym, games area, lounge/kitchen area, and multi-purpose recreational space is provided at ground floor level of Building 01, alongside a reception and postal storage area. External roof terraces are included at storeys 6 and 9 at Building 01, with an enclosed glazed amenity space at 13th storey level, with external terrace. An external roof terrace is provided at 9th storey level at Building 02.

The development includes a vehicle right of way providing access to St. Michael's Hospital along the western perimeter of the site, accessed from Crofton Road. This provides access to 3 no. car parking spaces (including 1 no. disabled space) located between the two buildings. A secondary right of way is provided via a landscaped pedestrian route along the eastern perimeter of the site providing access to St. Michael's Hospital. A total of 150 no. bicycle parking spaces are provided at the ground floor level of Building 02 (alongside a bicycle repair area), 26 no. within the central courtyard and 8 no. adjacent to the café at the northern perimeter. The development also includes an ESB substation, bin store, services and drainage infrastructure, boundary treatments, access provision at Crofton and all ancillary development works necessary to facilitate the development.

Figure 2.2 shows the typical floor plan. The image in Figure 2.3 shows a generic view of the 3D model of the development which has been provided by Reddy A+U.



Figure 2.2: St Michael's Development Typical Floor Plan




Figure 2.3: St Michael's Development 3D Model

2.3 EXTENTS OF ANALYSED AREA

St Michael's Development is located in on Crofton Road, Dún Laoghaire, Co Dublin. The development is located on the seafront of Dún Laoghaire port and at the rear of St Michael's Hospital. The site is shown in Figure 2.4. The area considered for the wind desktop study comprises a 3km² area around the St Michael's Development as represented in Figure 2.5.




Figure 2.4: St Michael's Development Actual Site Location



Figure 2.5: Extents of Analysed Area around St Michael's Development

2.4 OBJECTIVE OF THE WIND MICROCLIMATE STUDY

The CFD wind model is adopted to identify areas of concern in terms of critical flows and areas where the pedestrian safety and comfort could be compromised. Pedestrian Wind Comfort and Safety Studies are conducted to predict, assess and, where necessary, mitigate the impact of the development on pedestrian level wind conditions. The objective is to maintain comfortable and safe pedestrian level wind conditions that are appropriate for the season and the intended use of pedestrian areas. Pedestrian areas include sidewalks and street frontages, pathways, building entrance areas, open spaces, amenity areas, outdoor sitting areas, and accessible roof top areas among others.

2.4.1 REGULATIONS

Good wind microclimate conditions are necessary for creating outstanding public spaces. Adverse wind effects can reduce the quality and usability of outdoor areas, and lead to safety concerns in extreme cases.

Usually, the recommended approach to wind microclimate studies is based on the building height, as presented in Figure 2.6.

Building Height	Recommended Approach to Wind Microclimate Studies
Similar or lower than the average height of surrounding buildings Up to 25m	Wind studies are not required, unless sensitive pedestrian activities are intended (e.g. around hospitals, transport hubs, etc.) or the project is located on an exposed location
Up to double the average height of surrounding buildings 25m to 50m	Computational (CFD) Simulations OR Wind Tunnel Testing
Up to 4 times the average height of surrounding buildings 50m to 100m	Computational (CFD) Simulations AND Wind Tunnel Testing
High Rise Above 100m	Early Stage Massing Optimization: Wind Tunnel Testing OR Computational (CFD) Simulations Detailed Design: Wind Tunnel Testing AND Computational (CFD) Simulations to demonstrate the performance of the final building design

Figure 2.6: Recommended Approach to Wind Microclimate Studies based on Building Height, as prescribed by the Wind Microclimate Guidelines for Developments in the City of London (August 2019)

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) tools can create high quality output that provide a good understanding of fundamental flow features. The CFD models must include a detailed three-dimensional representation of the proposed development.

Maximum cell sizes near critical locations (e.g. entrances, corners, etc.) must be 0.3m or smaller. Sufficient cells should be also used between buildings with a minimum of 10 across a street canyon. However, the cell size of buildings away from the target can be larger to allow for modelling efficiency. The CFD models should represent all surrounding buildings that are within 400m from the centre of the site. Other taller buildings outside of this zone that could have an influence on wind conditions within the project site should be included for wind directions where they are upwind of the project site. The models must contain at

least 3 prism layers below 1.5m height, to capture near-ground effects.

CFD analysis also reports conditions in areas away from the site where cumulative effects of a cluster of tall buildings could lead to adverse wind conditions.

3. STUDY METHODOLOGY



3.1 STUDY METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted for the wind microclimate analysis of the proposed development is outlined as follows;

The following sections give details on the methodology utilized.

- Perform a wind desktop study of the existing environment.
- Perform computational wind microclimate analysis of the proposed development within the existing environment.

3.2 WIND IMPACT ASSESSMENT ON BUILDINGS

3.2.1 PLANETARY BOUNDARY LAYER AND TERRAIN ROUGHNESS

Due to aerodynamic drag, there is a wind gradient in the wind flow just a few hundred meters above the Earth’s surface – “the surface layer of the planetary boundary layer”.

Wind speed increases with increasing height above the ground, starting from zero, due to the no-slip condition. In particular, the wind velocity profile is parabolic. Flow near the surface encounters obstacles that reduce the wind speed, and introduce random vertical and horizontal velocity components. This turbulence causes vertical mixing between the air moving horizontally at one level, and the air at those levels immediately above and below it. For this reason, the velocity profile is given by a fluctuating velocity along a mean velocity value. Figure 3.1 shows the wind velocity profile, as described above.




Figure 3.1: Wind Velocity Profile

Two effects influence the shape of the wind speed profile:

- Contours of the terrain: a rising terrain such as an escarpment will produce a fuller profile at the top of the slope compared with the profile of the wind approaching the slope.

- Aerodynamic 'roughness' of the upstream terrain: natural roughness in the form of woods or man-made roughness in the form of buildings. Obstructions near the ground create turbulence and friction, lowering the average wind speed. The higher the obstructions, the greater the turbulence and the lower the windspeed. As a general rule, windspeed increases with height.




Figure 3.2: Wind Velocity Profile for different terrains

In order to assess the wind conditions in a particular area, it is important to know (Figure 3.3):

- Weather conditions in the area
- Location and orientation of the site
- Buildings distribution in the area
- Flow patterns at the building




Figure 3.3: Parameters to know for Wind Conditions Assessment

Moreover, it is important to understand key flow features (Figure 3.4):

- Broad Building Face creates “DOWNWASH”
- Low Building Upwind Increases Wind Effects
- Gaps Between Buildings Increases Wind Velocity
- Low Building Upwind Increases Wind Effects




Figure 3.4: Parameters to know for Wind Conditions Assessment

3.3 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

3.3.1 PEDESTRIAN COMFORT AND LAWSON CRITERIA

Pedestrian Wind Comfort is measured in function of the frequency of wind speed threshold exceeded based on the pedestrian activity. The assessment of pedestrian level wind conditions requires a standard against which measured or expected wind velocities can be compared.

Only gust winds are considered in the safety criterion. These are usually rare events, but deserve special attention in city planning and building design due to their potential impact on pedestrian safety. Gusts cause the majority of cases of annoyance and distress and are assessed in addition to average wind speeds. Gust speeds should be divided by 1.85 and these “gust equivalent mean” (GEM) speeds are compared to the same criteria as for the mean hourly wind speeds. This avoids the need for different criteria for mean and gust wind speeds.

The following criteria are widely accepted by municipal authorities as well as the international building design and city planning community:

- **DISCOMFORT CRITERIA:** Relates to the activity of the individual.
Onset of discomfort:
 - Depends on the activity in which the individual is engaged and is defined in terms of a mean hourly wind speed (or GEM) which is exceeded for 5% of the time.
- **DISTRESS CRITERIA:** Relates to the physical well-being of the individual.
Onset of distress:
 - ‘Frail Person Or Cyclist’: equivalent to an hourly mean speed of 15 m/s and a gust speed of 28 m/s (62 mph) to be exceeded less often than once a year. This is intended to identify wind conditions which less able individuals or cyclists may

find physically difficult. Conditions in excess of this limit may be acceptable for optional routes and routes which less physically able individuals are unlikely to use.

- ‘General Public’: A mean speed of 20 m/s and a gust speed of 37 m/s (83 mph) to be exceeded less often than once a year. Beyond this gust speed, aerodynamic forces approach body weight and it rapidly becomes impossible for anyone to remain standing. Where wind speeds exceed these values, pedestrian access should be discouraged.

The above criteria set out six pedestrian activities and reflect the fact that calm activity requires calm wind conditions, which are summarised by the Lawson scale, shown in Figure 3.5. Lawson scale assesses pedestrian wind comfort in absolute terms and defines the reaction of an average person to the wind. Each wind type is associated to a number, corresponding to the Beaufort scale, which is represented in Figure 3.6. Beaufort scale is an empirical measure that relates wind speed to observed conditions at sea or on land. A 20% exceedance is used in these criteria to determine the comfort category, which suggests that wind speeds would be comfortable for the corresponding activity at least 80% of the time or four out of five days.


Beaufort Scale	Wind Type	Mean Hourly Wind Speed (m/s)		Acceptance Level Based on Activity–Lawson Criteria				
				Sitting	Standing/ Entrances	Leisure Walking	Business Walking	
0-1	Light Air	0 – 1.55	COMFORT	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	
2	Light Breeze	1.55 - 3.35		Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	
3	Gentle Breeze	3.35 - 5.45		Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	
4	Moderate	5.45 - 7.95		Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	
5	Fresh Breeze	7.95 - 10.75		Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	
6	Strong Breeze	10.75 - 13.85		Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	
7	Near Gale	13.85 - 17.15		Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable	
8	Gale	17.15 - 20.75	DISTRESS	Dangerous	Dangerous	Dangerous	Dangerous	
9	Strong Gale	20.75 - 24.45		Dangerous	Dangerous	Dangerous	Dangerous	
Legend				Acceptable	Tolerable	Not acceptable	Dangerous	

Figure 3.5: Lawson Scale

THE BEAUFORT SCALE














WIND	SYMBOL	SPEED	FORCE	EFFECT	WIND	SYMBOL	SPEED	FORCE	EFFECT
CALM		>1 MPH	0	SMOKE RISES VERTICALLY	MODERATE GALE		32-38 MPH	7	WHOLE TREES IN MOTION
LIGHT AIR		1-3 MPH	1	SMOKE DRIFTS SLIGHTLY	FRESH GALE		39-46 MPH	8	TWIGS BROKEN OFF TREES: DIFFICULT TO DRIVE A CAR
LIGHT BREEZE		4-7 MPH	2	LEAVES RUSTLE: WIND VANE MOVES	STRONG GALE		47-54 MPH	9	SLIGHT STRUCTURAL DAMAGE OCCURES
GENTLE BREEZE		8-12 MPH	3	LEAVES IN CONSTANT MOTION: LIGHT FLAG EXTENDED	WHOLE GALE		55-63 MPH	10	TREES UPROOTED: SEVERE STRUCTURAL DAMAGE
MODERATE BREEZE		13-18 MPH	4	RAISES DUST AND PAPERS: SMALL BRANCHES STIR	STORM		64-73 MPH	11	WIDESPREAD DAMAGE
FRESH BREEZE		19-24 MPH	5	SMALL TREES SWAY	HURRICANE		ABOVE 75 MPH	12	DEVASTATION
STRONG BREEZE		25-31 MPH	6	LARGE BRANCHES MOVE: USE OF UMBRELLA DIFFICULT	THE BEAUFORT SCALE HAS UNOFFICIALLY BEEN EXTENDED TO FORCE 17 TO DESCRIBE TROPICAL STORMS EXCEEDING 126 MILES PER HOUR.				

Figure 3.6: BeaufortScale

These criteria for wind forces represent average wind tolerances. They are subjective and variable depending on thermal conditions, age, health, clothing, etc. which can all affect a person's perception of a local microclimate. Moreover, pedestrian activity alters between winter and summer months. The criteria assume that people will be suitably dressed for the time of year and individual activity. It is reasonable to assume, for instance, that areas designated for outdoor seating will not be used on the windiest days of the year.

Weather data measured are used to calculate how often a given wind speed will occur each year over a specified area. Unless in extremely unusual circumstances, velocities at pedestrian level increase as you go higher from ground level.

A breach of the distress criteria requires a consideration of:

- whether the location is on a major route through the complex,
- whether there are suitable alternate routes which are not distressful.

If the predicted wind conditions exceed the threshold then condition are unacceptable for the type of pedestrian activity and mitigation measure should be implemented into the design.

For the scope of this report, a qualitative analysis is undertaken, therefore the flow pattern will be highlighted but it will not reflect the velocity magnitude developed.

Distress Criteria

In addition to the criteria for “discomfort” the Lawson method presents criteria for “distress”. The discomfort criteria focus on wind conditions which may be encountered for hundreds of hours per year. The distress criteria require higher wind speeds to be met, but focus on two hours per year. These are rare wind conditions but with the potential for injury rather than inconvenience.

Figure 3.7 shows the hourly wind gust rose for Dublin, from 1985 to 2015. This will be necessary to assess how many hours per year on average the velocity exceed the threshold values.




Figure 3.7: Hourly Dublin Wind Gust Rose

Distress for Frail Person or Cyclist

The criteria for distress for a frail person or cyclist is 15m/s wind occurring for more than two hours per year. Limiting the results from the above wind rose to the only values above 15m/s (as reported in Figures 3.8 and 3.9 respectively as cumulative hours and cumulative percentage), it is possible to see how many hours in 30 years the gust velocity of 15m/s is exceed at pedestrian level in each direction.




Figure 3.8: Hourly Dublin Wind Gust Rose - Cumulative hours when the velocity is above 15m/s




Figure 3.9: Hourly Dublin Wind Gust Rose - Cumulative percentage of time when the velocity is above 15m/s

A total of 2 hours per years corresponds to 0.02% in one year, which means 0.6% in 30 years. Looking at the wind roses above, it is possible to notice that a velocity of 15m/s was reached in Dublin only for the following directions (in increasing order of percentage) over the years 1985-2015:

1. West 270°
2. West-South-West 247.5°

3. South-West 225°

Distress for General Public

The criteria for distress for a member of the general population is 20m/s wind occurring for more than two hours per year. Limiting the results from the above wind rose to the only values above 20m/s (as reported in Figures 3.10 and 3.11 respectively as cumulative hours and cumulative percentage), it is possible to see how many hours in 30 years the gust velocity of 20m/s is exceeded at pedestrian level in each direction.




Figure 3.10: Hourly Dublin Wind Gust Rose - Cumulative hours when the velocity is above 20m/s




Figure 3.11: Hourly Dublin Wind Gust Rose - Cumulative percentage of time when the velocity is above 20m/s

A total of 2 hours per years corresponds to 0.02% in one year, which means 0.6% in 30 years. Looking at the wind roses above, it is possible to notice that a velocity of 20m/s was never reached in Dublin over the years 1985-2015.

3.4 MITIGATION MEASURES

As stated in the previous section, if the predicted wind conditions exceed the threshold, then condition are unacceptable for the type of pedestrian activity and mitigation measure should be accounted for.

Mitigation measures include:

- Landscaping: the use vegetation to protect buildings from wind
- Sculptural screening (solid or porous): to either deflect the wind or bleed the wind by removing its energy.
- Canopies and Wind gutters: horizontal canopies are used to deflect the wind and redirect the wind around the building and above the canopy.

In particular, it is possible to summarise the different flow features and the corresponding mitigation option as follows (Figures 3.12 and 3.13):

- **Downwash Effects:** when wind hits the windward face of a tall building, the building tends to deflect the wind downwards, causing accelerated wind speeds at pedestrian level and around the windward corners of the building. This can occur when Tall and wide building facades face the prevailing winds.

Downdraft Effects: When the leeward face of a low building faces the windward face of a tall building, it causes an increase in the downward flow of wind on the windward face of the tall building. This results in accelerated winds at pedestrian level in the space between the two buildings and around the windward corners of the tall building.

MITIGATION OPTIONS:

- To mitigate unwanted wind effects it is recommended to introduce a base building or podium with a step back, and setting back a tower relative to the base building, the downward wind flow can be deflected, resulting in reduced wind speed at pedestrian level.
- Landscaping the base building roof and tower step back, wind speeds at grade can be further reduced, and wind conditions on the base building roof can improve.

Downwash and Downdraft Effects

Mitigation Options




Figure 3.12: Mitigation Measures for Downwash and Downdraft Effects

- **Funneling Effects:** Wind speed is accelerated when wind is funneled between two buildings. This is referred to as the “wind canyon effect”. The intensity of the acceleration is influenced by the building heights, size of the facades, building separation distance and building orientation. Similar effect can be noticed when a bridge is connecting two buildings, the wind passing below the bridge is accelerated, therefore pedestrians can experience high uncomfortable velocities of wind .

MITIGATION OPTIONS:

- A horizontal canopy on the windward face of a base building can improve pedestrian level wind conditions. Parapet walls around a canopy can make the canopy more effective.
- Sloped canopies only provide partial deflection of downward wind flow.
- A colonnade on the windward face of the base building provides the pedestrian with a calm area where to walk while being protected or a breeze walking space outside the colonnade zone.

Funneling Effects

Mitigation Options





Figure 3.13: Mitigation Measures for Funnelling Effects

4. CFD MODELLING METHOD



4.1 CFD MODELLING METHOD

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) is a numerical technique to simulate fluid flow, heat and mass transfer, chemical reaction and combustion, multiphase flow, and other phenomena related to fluid flows. CFD modelling includes three main stage: pre-processing, simulation and post-processing as described in Figure 4.1. The Navier-Stokes equations, used within CFD analysis, are based entirely on the application of fundamental laws of physics and therefore produce extremely accurate results providing that the scenario modelled is a good representation of reality.




Figure 4.1: CFD Modelling Process Explanation

4.1.1 NUMERICAL SOLVER

This report employs OpenFoam Code, which is based on a volume averaging method of discretization and uses the post-processing visualisation toolkit Paraview version 5.5. OpenFoam is a CFD software code released and developed primarily by OpenCFD Ltd, since 2004. It has a large user base across most areas of engineering and science, from both commercial and academic organisations.

OpenFOAM CFD code has capabilities of utilizing a Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) approach, Unsteady Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes (URANS) approach, Detached Eddy Simulation (DES) approach, Large Eddy Simulation (LES) approach or the Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) approach, which are all used to solve anything from complex fluid flows involving chemical reactions, turbulence and heat transfer, to acoustics, solid mechanics and electromagnetics. Quality assurance is based on rigorous testing. The process of code evaluation, verification and validation includes several hundred daily unit tests, a medium-sized test battery run on a weekly basis, and large industry-based test battery run prior to new version releases. Tests are designed to assess regression behaviour, memory usage, code performance and scalability.

The OpenFOAM solver algorithm directly solves the mass and momentum equations for the large eddies that comprise most of the fluid's energy. By solving the large eddies directly no error is introduced into the calculation.

To reduce computational time and associated costs the small eddies within the flow have been solved using the widely used and recognised Smagorinsky Sub-Grid Scale (SGS) model. The small eddies only comprise a small proportion of the fluids energy therefore the errors introduced through the modelling of this component are minimal.

The error introduced by modelling the small eddies can be considered of an acceptable level. Computational time will be reduced by modelling the small eddies (compared to directly solving).

4.2 COMPUTATIONAL MESH

The level of accuracy of the CFD results are determined by the level of refinement of the computational mesh. Details of parameters used to calculate the computational mesh are presented in Table 4.1. Figure 4.2 shows the mesh utilised.

The grid follows the principles of the 'Finite Volume Method', which implies that the solution of the model equations is calculated at discrete points (nodes) on a three-dimensional grid, which includes all the flow volume of interest. The mathematical solution for the flow is calculated at the center of each of these cells and then an interpolation function is used by the software to provide the results in the entire domain.




Figure 4.2: St Michael's Mesh


4.3 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

A rectangular computational domain was used for the analysis. The wind direction were altered without changing the computational mesh. For each dimension, an initial wind velocity was set according to the weather data collected, in order to consider the worst case scenario (see Chapter 5). Surfaces within the model were specified as having 'no slip'. This condition ensures that flow moving parallel to a surface is brought to rest at the point where it meets the surface. all the other domain boundaries are set as "Open Boundaries".

PARAMETERS TO CALCULATE COMPUTATIONAL MESH	
Air Density ρ	$1.2\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$
Ambient Temperature (T)	$288\text{K}(\text{approx.}15\text{C}^\circ)$
Gravity Acceleration (g)	$9.8\text{m}/\text{s}^2$
dx	0.5 m at the building 1m in the surroundings 2m elsewhere
Mesh cells size	0.1 m (ratio 1:1)
Total mesh size	Approx. cells number = 26 million

Table 4.1: Parameters To Calculate Computational Mesh

5. WIND DESKTOP STUDY



5.1 WIND FLOW CONDITIONS

This analysis consider the whole development being exposed to the typical wind condition of the site. The building is oriented as shown in the previous sections. The wind profile is built using the annual average of meteorology data collected at Dublin Airport Weather Station. Figure 5.1 shows on the map the position of St Michael's Development and the position of Dublin Airport.




Figure 5.1: Map showing the position of St Michael's Development and Dublin Airport

Regarding the transferability of the available wind climate data following considerations have been made:

- Terrain: The meteorological station is located in the flat open terrain of the airport, whereas the development site is located in urban area near the coast with dense built-in structure with buildings of at least 15m height in average.

- Mean Wind Speeds: Due to the different terrain environment, the ground-near wind speeds (at pedestrian level) will be lower at the construction site compared to the meteorological station at the airport.
- Wind Directions: The landscape around the development site can in principle be characterized as flat terrain. Isolated elevations in the near area of the development should have no influence on the wind speed and wind directions. With respect to the general wind climate no significant influence is expected. Based on the above considerations it can be concluded that the data from the meteorological station at Dublin Airport are applicable for the desktop assessment of the wind comfort at the development site.

5.2 LOCAL, MAXIMUM AND MEAN WIND CONDITIONS

5.2.1 LOCAL WIND CONDITIONS

The assessment of the wind comfort conditions at the new development will be based on the dominating wind directions throughout a year (annual wind statistic).

As stated above, the local wind climate is determined from historical meteorological data recorded at Dublin Airport. Two different data sets are analyzed for this assessment as follows:

- The meteorological data associated with the maximum daily wind speeds recorded over a 30 year period between 1985 and 2015 and,
- The mean hourly wind speeds recorded over a 10 year period between 2005 and 2015. The data is recorded at a weather station at the airport, which is located 10m above ground or 71mOD.




Figure 5.2: Local Wind Conditions - Wind Speed - 1985-2019




Figure 5.3: Local Wind Conditions - Wind Gust - 1985-2019

Figure 5.4, presenting the wind speed diagram for Dublin, shows the days per month, during which the wind reaches a certain speed. In Figure 5.5, the wind rose for Dublin shows how many hours per year the wind blows from the indicated direction, confirming how the predominant directions are WSW, W, and SW.




Figure 5.4: Dublin Wind Speed Diagram




Figure 5.5: Dublin Wind Rose

Based on the criterion of occurrence frequency the main wind directions to be considered in pedestrian wind comfort assessment are presented in Figure 5.6 and listed below in descending order of dominance:

1. South-West with most frequent wind speeds around 6m/s (all year).
2. South-East
3. West-South-West.

The desktop study will mainly focus on the large sector of prevailing wind directions of

winds from above. Other wind directions will be discussed if deemed necessary for the study and will be further analysed during the final assessment.

Velocity (m/s)	Direction (°)	Frequency
5.601	225	11.233
4.626	135	6.849
5.847	236.25	6.792
6.049	258.75	6.747
6.034	247.5	6.689
5.888	270	5.662
4.994	315	4.338
5.503	281.25	3.904
4.974	292.5	3.436
5.357	213.75	3.288
4.736	123.75	3.105
4.406	146.25	2.751
5.101	303.75	2.648
5.246	112.5	2.500
4.121	157.5	2.386
4.581	101.25	2.340
4.169	45	2.180
3.558	90	2.135
4.801	202.5	2.021
3.689	78.75	1.963
3.627	168.75	1.495
4.285	67.5	1.370
4.863	56.25	1.279
4.042	191.25	1.199
4.630	326.25	1.164
3.844	11.25	1.142
4.418	337.5	1.062
4.787	348.75	0.982
4.006	22.5	0.959
3.555	180	0.879
4.059	33.75	0.845
0.700	0	0.011
Selected Conditions : 32 Total Coverage : 95.35 %		

Figure 5.6: Main Wind Directions Occurrence Frequency

5.2.2 MEAN AND MAXIMUM WIND CONDITIONS

Examination of the daily wind data reveals that the wind predominantly blows from West and Southwest directions, however, there is a secondary wind from the Southeast. It is apparent that winds from other directions are rare. Maximum daily wind speeds of nearly 30 m/s were recorded in the past 30 years, however, the maximum daily winds are commonly found between 6 m/s and 15 m/s. the strongest winds arise from the West and Southwest.




Figure 5.7: Maximum Wind Conditions






Figure 5.8: Mean Wind Conditions

5.2.3 TOPOGRAPHY AND BUILT IN ENVIRONMENT

Figure 5.9 shows an aerial photograph of the terrain surrounding the construction site at St Michael's Development.

The St Michael's site is located in on Crofton Road, Dún Laoghaire, Co Dublin. The development is located on the seafront of Dún Laoghaire port and at the rear of St Michael's Hospital. The development is located immediately opposite Dún Laoghaire Dart station, providing ready and regular access to Dublin city centre and the towns of Bray and Greystones. The area is also well served by a number of Dublin Bus services.

The area surrounding the site can be characterised as urban environment. Some shelter effect can be expected for wind approaching from directions within this sector. All the wind directions considered for this study are in this connection "urban winds" and no distinction will be made between them. The site is located not far from the coastal area. However, the open-sea is located on the north-east side of the development, which is not a dominant wind direction in Dublin.




Figure 5.9: Built-in Environment around Construction Site at St Michael's Development

5.2.4 OPEN AREA FUNCTIONS

The assessment of pedestrian wind comfort in urban areas focuses on activities people are likely to perform in the open space between buildings, which are in turn related to a specific function. For example the activity sitting a longer period of time is typically associated with the location of a street café or similar. Such combinations of activity and area can be grouped in four main categories:

A	Sitting for a long period of time; laying steady position; pedestrian sitting; <i>Terrace; street café or restaurant; open field theatre; pool</i>
B	Pedestrian standing; standing/sitting over a short period of time; short steady positions; <i>Public park; playing field; shopping street; mall</i>
C	Pedestrian walking; leisurely walking; normal walking; ramble; stroll <i>Walkway; building entrance; shopping street; mall</i>
D	Objective business walking; brisk or fast walking <i>Car park; avenue; sidewalk; belvedere</i>

Figure 5.10: Main Categories for Pedestrian Activities

6. ANALYSIS OF CFD RESULTS

6.1 CFD RESULTS

It is of interest at this point to underline again the objectives of the CFD simulations performed. In particular:

- Pedestrian Wind Comfort and Safety Studies are conducted to predict, assess and, where necessary, mitigate the impact of the development on pedestrian level wind conditions.
- The objective is to maintain comfortable and safe pedestrian level wind conditions that are appropriate for the season and the intended use of pedestrian areas. Pedestrian areas include sidewalks and street frontages, pathways, building entrance areas, open spaces, amenity areas, outdoor sitting areas, and accessible roof top areas among others.

Results of the simulations carried out are detailed in the following Sections. The results present the parameters outlined in the acceptance criteria section described previously. Slices of the following parameters are collected throughout the simulation time and shown for steady state times:

- Flow Velocity
- Lawson Map

Figure 6.1 shows an example of the location of a slice in the Z direction, at 15m above the ground.

Red colors indicate critical values while blue colors indicate tenable conditions.




Figure 6.1: Results slice collected at Z=15m above the ground

6.2 MICROCLIMATE ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

This section aims to show wind patterns around the proposed development under mean and peaks wind conditions typically occurring in the area. 3D views of the proposed development massing model in the domain are presented in Figures 6.2 to 6.4.




Figure 6.2: 3D View of the Proposed St Michael's Development Massing Model - Full Domain - North Side View




Figure 6.3: 3D View of the Proposed St Michael's Development Massing Model - Development and Adjacent Buildings - North Side View




Figure 6.4: 3D View of the Proposed St Michael’s Development Massing Model Development and Adjacent Buildings - Generic View

The results present the parameters outlined within the acceptance criteria section described previously. The images within the following subsections show the flow velocity results obtained and maps to assess the pedestrian comfort in the area.

From the simulation results the following observations are pointed out:

- The proposed development will produce a quality environment that is attractive and comfortable for pedestrians.
- Wind flow speeds are shown to be within tenable conditions. Some higher velocity and funnelling effects are found, depending on the wind direction, on the main roads around the development, on the road in-between the two different blocks and under the pedestrian tunnel on the north-side entrance. Some recirculation effects are found at the corners of the buildings. However, these conditions are not occurring at a frequency that would compromise the pedestrian comfort, according to the Lawson Criteria, as shown in the next Sections. Moreover, the implementation of mitigation measures with tree planting all around the development, with particular attention to the corners of the buildings, will mitigate these effects.
- The pedestrian comfort assessment, performed at Ground Floor level accordingly to the Lawson criteria, identified the areas that are suitable for the different pedestrian activities in order to guarantee pedestrian comfort. The areas around the development can all be considered suitable for long term sitting. Moreover, in terms of distress, no critical conditions were found for “Frail persons or cyclists” and for members of the “General Public” in the surrounding of the development.

6.2.1 Flow Velocity Results - Ground Floor

Results of velocity at slice location of 1.5m above the ground are presented in Figures 6.5 to 6.12, for wind assessment of Ground Floor Level of the St Michael's Development.

Wind flow speeds are shown to be within tenable conditions. Some higher velocity and funnelling effects are found, depending on the wind direction, on the main roads around the development, on the road in-between the two different blocks and under the pedestrian tunnel on the north-side entrance. Some recirculation effects are found at the corners of the buildings. However, these conditions are not occurring at a frequency that would compromise the pedestrian comfort, according to the Lawson Criteria, as shown in the next Sections. Moreover, the implementation of mitigation measures with tree planting all around the development, with particular attention to the corners of the buildings, will mitigate these effects.




Figure 6.5: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 225°





Figure 6.6: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 135°





Figure 6.7: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 236°





Figure 6.8: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 258°





Figure 6.9: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 247°




Figure 6.10: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 270°




Figure 6.11: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 315°




Figure 6.12: Ground Floor Level - Flow Velocity Results at Z=1.5m above the ground - Wind Direction: 281°

6.2.2 Pedestrian Comfort Assessment

This section aims to identify areas of the St Michael’s Development where the pedestrian safety and comfort could be compromised (in accordance with the Lawson Acceptance Criteria previously described). Pedestrian comfort criteria are assessed at 1.5m above ground level.

Discomfort Criteria

Figures 6.14 to 6.21 show Lawson comfort categories over the ground floor area around St Michael’s Development. In all cases, the scale used is set out in Figure 6.13. Thus, depending on the wind direction, the suitability of the different areas can be assessed using those maps.

For the Lawson discomfort criteria, the onset of discomfort depends on the activity in which the individual is engaged and it is defined in terms of a mean hourly wind speed (or GEM) which is exceeded for 5% of the time. It can be seen that the wind conditions range from “suitable for long term sitting” to “suitable for walking and strolling” and really rarely are only suitable for “business walking” or “unacceptable for pedestrian comfort”.

The results shown in the maps show that for the Ground Floor Level there are no critical area which are unacceptable for pedestrian comfort. The areas within the development can all be considered suitable for long term sitting. Thus, the discomfort criteria is satisfied for all the different cases and at all directions.

Plot Colour:



Figure 6.13: Lawson Comfort Categories




Figure 6.14: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 225°



Figure 6.15: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 135°




Figure 6.16: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 236°




Figure 6.17: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 258°




Figure 6.18: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 247°




Figure 6.19: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 270°




Figure 6.20: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 315°




Figure 6.21: Ground Floor - Lawson Discomfort Map - Wind Direction: 281°

Distress Criteria

The criteria for distress for a frail person or cyclist is 15m/s wind occurring for more than two hours per year.

As explained above, a velocity of 15m/s was reached in Dublin only for the following directions (in increasing order of percentage) over the years 1985-2015:

1. West 270°
2. West-South-West 247.5°
3. South-West 225°

For this reason, it is of interest to show the distress results for these directions. Figure 6.23 below combines all the above directions together and shows the areas where the measured velocity is above 15 m/s. Figure 6.22 shows the scale used in this case. Results show that there are not critical areas where the velocity increases above 15 m/s, thus the criteria is always satisfied.




Figure 6.22: Lawson Distress Categories - Frail Person or Cyclist




Figure 6.23: Lawson Distress Map - Frail Person or Cyclist

The criteria for distress for a member of the general population is 20m/s wind occurring for more than two hours per year. As explained above, a velocity of 20m/s was never reached in Dublin over the years 1985-2015. For this reason, it is not of interest to show the distress results for any of the wind directions and the criteria is always satisfied.

7. CONCLUSIONS

7.1 CONCLUSIONS AND COMMENTS ON CFD WIND STUDY

This report presented the Wind Microclimate Modelling study performed for St Michael's Development in Dun Laoghaire, Co.Dublin. This study has been carried out to identify the possible wind patterns around the area proposed, under mean and peaks wind conditions typically occurring in Dublin, and also to assess impacts of the wind on pedestrian level comfort.

The results of this wind microclimate study are utilized by Fitzwilliam DL Ltd design team to configure the optimal layout for St Michael's Development for the aim of achieving a high-quality environment for the scope of use intended of each areas/building (i.e. comfortable and pleasant for potential pedestrian) and not to introduce any critical wind impact on the surrounding areas and on the existing buildings.

A qualitative and quantitative summary of the wind microclimate modelling study performed for St Michael's Development shows that:

- The wind profile around the existing development environment was built using the annual average meteorology data collected at Dublin Airport Weather Station. In particular, the local wind climate was determined from historical meteorological data recorded 10 m above ground level at Dublin Airport.
- The prevailing wind directions for the site are identified as West, South-East and West-South-West, with magnitude of approximately 6m/s.
- The proposed St Michael's Development will produce a high-quality environment that is attractive and comfortable for pedestrians of all categories.
-
- Wind flow speeds are shown to be within tenable conditions. Some higher velocity and funnelling effects are found, depending on the wind direction, on the main roads around the development, on the road in-between the two different blocks and under the pedestrian tunnel on the north-side entrance. Some recirculation effects are found at the corners of the buildings. However, these conditions are not occurring at a frequency that would compromise the pedestrian comfort, according to the Lawson Criteria, as shown in the next Sections. Moreover, the implementation of mitigation measures with tree planting all around the development, with particular attention to the corners of the buildings, will mitigate these effects.
- The proposed development does not impact or give rise to negative or critical wind speed profiles at the nearby adjacent roads, or nearby buildings.
- The pedestrian comfort assessment, performed at Ground Floor level accordingly to the Lawson criteria, identified the areas that are suitable for the different pedestrian activities in order to guarantee pedestrian comfort. The areas around the development can all be considered suitable for long term sitting. Moreover, in terms of distress, no critical conditions were found for "Frail persons or cyclists" and for members of the "General Public" in the surrounding of the development.

8. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Lawson, T.V., 2001, 'Building Aerodynamics', Imperial College Press, London
- Simiu, E., 2011, 'Design of buildings for wind: a guide for ASCE 7-10 Standard users and designers of special structures', 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, U.S.A.
- Building Aerodynamics, Tom Lawson FREng. Imperial College Press, 2001
- Blocken, B., 2015. Computational Fluid Dynamics for Urban Physics: Importance, scales, possibilities, limitations and ten tips and tricks towards accurate and reliable simulations. Building and Environment.
- Blocken, B., Janssen, W.D. and van Hooff, T., 2012. CFD simulation for pedestrian wind comfort and wind safety in urban areas: General decision framework and case study for the Eindhoven University campus. Environmental Modelling and Software, 30, pp.15–34.
- Franke, J., Hellsten, A., Schlunzen, H., Carissimo, B, Ed. (2007); Best Practice Guidelines for the CFD Simulation of Flows in the Urban Environment, University of Hamburg